

# Pre-study of the impact of implementing the EN in PEPPOL

**MEETING MINUTES FROM ONE OF THE  
FOUR GROUPS**

# DISCUSSION 1

- Early decide on an end date for version 2.0 e.g. 2020-01-01
  - Sven thinks it is a little bit to early
- Mandatory use EN 2019-01-01 (under the assumption specification ready by 2018-01-01)
- Best case scenario EN optional 2018-01-01
  - New users during 2018 (according to recommended) are aloud to only support EN
  - Thomas Nakken: do not believe almost any will support 3.0 before it is mandatory.
- (INPUT: update TIA so SMP:s are obliged to follow e.g. deprecations, etc)
- Channel to reach all participants are through service providers

## DISCUSSION 2

- Do we really need both BIS 4A and 5A
  - Take away BIS 4A (stand alone invoice)
  - Only publishing version 5a
- Can we improve on documentation
  - Refer to the EN minimizing documentation
  - Schematron and code lists (open ended) are important topics
    - Review if it is necessary to make restrictions
- Use cases, examples:
  - Create examples for different use cases e.g. factoring, financial services, insurance, telecom, energy, transportation of goods, postal services, renting services

## DISCUSSION 2 - continued

- Stylesheets for presentation:
  - 2 stylesheets – one simple and one complete
- Stylesheets for transformation mainly from CII to UBL
  - Important to have this.
- Implementation forum? (Important success factor)
  - Confluence are limited to PEPPOL members!?
  - LinkedIn can be helpful
  - JIRA (FAQ generation)
  - PEPPOL.EU
  - Related to having a support team and taking a full grip of this challenge

esv

EKONOMISTYRINGSVERKET