

Peppol Service Provider Community Regular meeting June 21st 2022



- 1. MC News
- 2. Market News
- 3. Service Provider Survey Steve
- 4. Peppol Authority Specific requirements MC decision
- 5. Info

Reporting BIS – commenting period closing

New agreement signing closing

PINT reference group






News from MC



Key membership indicators





Top countries in membership (Global)

Country		Number of Members	
1	Norway	59	
2	Italy	55	
3	Germany	47	
4	Australia	38	
5	Sweden	37	
6	Netherlands	33	
7	Belgium	29	
8	UK	27	
9	Singapore	25	
10	Denmark	19	

Country		Number of Members		Net	% Net
		31.12.2021	Today	Growth	Growth
1	Japan	2	7	5	250,00%
2	Luxembourg	2	5	3	150,00%
3	UK	24	27	3	12,50%
4	Greece	5	7	2	40,00%
5	USA	5	7	2	40,00%
6	Germany	46	47	1	2,17%
7	Norway	58	59	1	1,72%
8	Slovenia	2	3	1	50,00%
9	India	0	1	1	-
10	Australia	37	38	1	2,70%



MC decision on semantic versioning

- 1. The applicability of the Semantic Versioning Annex to the Internal Regulations is suspended until further notice
- 2. PoAC and SPC will cooperate to initiate a workgroup that will discuss the relevant topics in more detail. The mandate for this WG will be agreed at the Coordinating Committee
- 3. Any requirements for changes to the IR resulting from this work will be submitted to the APP CMB for consideration and approval.



Market News

EESPA



• EESPA GAM has approved an incubation project with Peppol



Peppol Service Provider survey



Peppol Authority Specific requirements – MC decision



Decision to approve PASR

- a. All Peppol Authorities Specific Requirements from Australia, Belgium, Germany, Iceland, Italy, Japan, New Zealand, the Netherlands, Norway, Poland, and Singapore are hereby approved, with some exception
- b. Some exceptions mainly related to postponing certain requirements.
- c. As part of this decision, the MC agreed on certain guidelines that should be considered by Peppol Authorities



Exceptions


- a. Mandatory Use of the Peppol Directory (Australia, Germany, New Zealand, Netherlands, Italy, Belgium):
- b. Mandatory use of the Message Level Response (Germany, Netherlands):
- c. End-to end security (encryption, authentication) in the Post-award Service Domain (Netherlands):
- d. <u>Data Quality in the Post-award Service Domain (Netherlands):</u>
- e. Mandatory use of the AS4 transmission protocol (Netherlands):

Reppol

Recommendations

- a. <u>Guidance</u>: (separate the actual rule, from guidance). Ideally different documents
- b. <u>Identifiers</u>: PA should make all reasonable efforts to support market requirements expressed by Service Providers, such as the registration on lower-level units
- c. <u>Centralized SMPs:</u> Always guideline how to use centralized SMP particularly when Service Providers are based outside their jurisdiction.
- d. <u>Reporting:</u> Prior central reporting, PA should ideally not to extend these schemes to Service Provider that have not been reporting to them under the TIA framework.
- e. <u>Security</u> Peppol Authorities should refrain from introducing through PASR new security requirements from what go beyond what they have today.
- f. <u>Accreditation Policies:</u> Accreditation Policies should be used to ensure compliance with their other PASR and not to introduce new PASR through Accreditation (In particular, certain business requirements).
- g. <u>Local interoperability specifications</u>: In case Peppol Authorities introduce local interoperability specifications then this should be applied only to Service Providers that offer relevant services.





THANK YOU!

MORE INFORMATION

info@peppol.eu www.peppol.eu

FOLLOW US



